



Planning & Design

January 4, 2010

VOID

Mr. Don Mooney
Executive Director - Operations
Springfield School District
111 West Leamy Avenue
Springfield, PA 19064

Philadelphia
Coral Gables
Dallas
Lake Placid
New York City
San Diego
San Francisco

**Re : Springfield High School Master Plan
Phasing Diagrams, Central Field Study and Alternative Site review**

Dear Mr. Mooney and members of the Facilities Committee,

This Proposal outlines the work WRT would undertake to analyze and compare phasing options for a new Springfield High School. Specifically, the District's Facilities Committee has requested that WRT continue our masterplanning effort in order to assess the phasing implications of the two preferred alternatives that we identified for the siting of the new school : the Saxer Avenue and Leamy Avenue locations.

The Facilities Committee has agreed that the Saxer Avenue location has some distinct benefits : giving the High School more of a civic presence; and a better yield/utilization of the available site for athletic fields. On the other hand, the Saxer Avenue site carries distinct burdens : issues of land control coordination of scope with the adjacent private school. The Leamy Avenue location presents its own set of challenges associated with the continued operation of the school while a major construction effort is underway, as well as the

WRT was also tasked with reviewing the Central Fields site capacity, as described under Task 1 on the next page. In addition, WRT will also prepare an alternative site option for the Proposed High School, along the Northeast edge of the site, between the existing track location and the property line. This work is described in Task 3 below.

Wallace Roberts & Todd, LLC
1700 Market Street, 28th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103

215.732.5215
www.wrtdesign.com
fax 215.732.2551



Scope of Work – Springfield High School Phasing

Task Description

The following is a description of the tasks WRT would perform, based on our current understanding of the assignments. Following that is a Fee Proposal, which correlates with the tasks described here.

TASK 1 – Central Field Analysis

As part of a discrete, but related Task, WRT has been asked to prepare sketches associated with the Central Field site (at Saxer Avenue and Powell Road), analyzing site capacity for a possible High School program, or possible expansion of Athletic Fields. Part of this work would review adjacent property boundaries and their potential impact on the possible layouts.

TASK 2 – Phasing Analysis for Leamy and Saxer Avenue Sites

Utilizing the data and graphics we've compiled, we will prepare two sets of Phasing Diagrams which describe the sequence of construction activity for each of the Sites, at Saxer and Leamy. The Diagrams will consider a proposed progression of demolition and construction activities as they relate to the following planning issues :

- property acquisition and possible land-swap;
- vehicular access;
- construction activity clearances and laydown spaces;
- existing and proposed utility service connections;
- site access and circulation;
- programmatic coherence;

Each of the Issues above plays a different role, depending on which site is reviewed.



TASK 3 – Alternative School Site – Hybrid Version

As a supplement to Task 2, WRT will diagram a new alternative scheme for the school, locating the School on the Fields between the Existing Track and the Northeast edge of the property. The distinct advantage of this site would be the simplification of the Phasing for both the school construction and demolition.

Fee Proposal

On the basis the Scope of Work outlined, our fee, by task, would be as follows, including hours by employee:

TASK 1 – Central Field Analysis	\$450
<i>Planned hours: SG – 3</i>	
TASK 2 – Alternative Plans	\$5,800
<i>Planned hours: MP – 2; SG – 8; RM – 44</i>	
TASK 2 – Alternative Plans	\$2,875
<i>Planned hours: SG – 8; RM – 20</i>	
Total	\$9,125

We will submit itemized invoices each month based on percentage of Tasks during the billing period. Expenses for travel and reproduction will be billed directly.

Feel free to call us if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Maarten I. Pesch, AIA, LEED® AP
Principal

Charles B. Tomlinson, Jr., AIA, CSI, CPT, LEED® AP
Contracting Principal

TASK 4 – BOARD ENG SESSION PROTEST

